

Generating Posets beyond \mathbf{N}

Uli Fahrenberg¹ Christian Johansen²
Georg Struth³ Ratan Bahadur Thapa²


École Polytechnique, Palaiseau, France

University of Oslo, Norway


University of Sheffield, UK

RAMiCS 2020


Motivation




Motivation



Motivation




Motivation




- **Kleene algebra** is nice and useful
 - ▶ also its extensions: semimodules, tests, domain, ...
- **Concurrent** Kleene algebra: extension of KA for concurrency
 - ▶ [Hoare, Möller, O'Hearn, Struth, van Staden, Villard, Wehrman, Zhu '09, '11, '16]
- Kleene algebra plus **parallel composition**
- the **free** CKA (minus some details): sets of **series-parallel pomsets**
 - ▶ labeled posets with concatenation & parallel composition
- *Something's amiss in concurrent Kleene algebra*

Example, Using Higher-Dimensional Automata




$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}$$



$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ d \end{pmatrix}$$

Example, Using Higher-Dimensional Automata




$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ d \end{pmatrix}$$


$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \longrightarrow d \end{pmatrix}$$

Example, Using Higher-Dimensional Automata




$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}$$

a
*



$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ d \end{pmatrix}$$


=



$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \longrightarrow d \end{pmatrix}$$


$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix} \parallel \begin{pmatrix} a \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \\ a \\ d \end{pmatrix} \quad ??$$

Example, Using Higher-Dimensional Automata




$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}$$

$*$



$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ d \end{pmatrix}$$


$=$



$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \longrightarrow d \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix} * \begin{pmatrix} a \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a \xrightarrow{\quad} a \\ c \xrightarrow{\quad} d \end{pmatrix} ??$$


Example, Using Higher-Dimensional Automata



$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix} \quad \overset{a}{*} \quad \begin{pmatrix} a \\ d \end{pmatrix} \quad = \quad \begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \longrightarrow d \end{pmatrix}$$

- new **gluing** operation on pomsets, to *continue events across compositions*

Another Example



$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix} \overset{a}{*} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ d \end{pmatrix} \overset{d}{*} \begin{pmatrix} b \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a \rightarrow b \\ c \rightarrow d \end{pmatrix}$$

- this is the **N** pomset, which is **not series-parallel**
- hence our title, **Generating Posets beyond N**

Series-Parallel Posets

- a **poset**: *finite* set P plus partial order \leq : reflexive, transitive, antisymmetric
- **parallel** composition of posets $(P_1, \leq_1), (P_2, \leq_2)$:

$$P_1 \otimes P_2 = (P_1 \sqcup P_2, \leq_1 \cup \leq_2)$$

↑↑ disjoint union

- **serial** composition:

$$P_1 * P_2 = (P_1 \sqcup P_2, \leq_1 \cup \leq_2 \cup P_1 \times P_2)$$

↑↑ P_1 before P_2


Series-Parallel Posets

- a **poset**: *finite* set P plus partial order \leq : reflexive, transitive, antisymmetric
- **parallel** composition of posets (P_1, \leq_1) , (P_2, \leq_2) :

$$P_1 \otimes P_2 = (P_1 \sqcup P_2, \leq_1 \cup \leq_2)$$

- **serial** composition:

$$P_1 * P_2 = (P_1 \sqcup P_2, \leq_1 \cup \leq_2 \cup P_1 \times P_2)$$



Series-Parallel Posets

Definition (Winkowski '77, Grabowski '81)

A poset is **series-parallel (sp)** if it is empty or can be obtained from the singleton poset by a finite number of serial and parallel compositions.

Theorem (Grabowski '81)

A poset is sp iff it does not contain \mathbf{N} as an induced subposet.


The equational theory of sp-posets is well-understood: [Gischer '88], [Bloom-Esik '96]

Concurrent Monoids

Definition (Gischer '88, Hoare *et al.* '11)

A **concurrent monoid** is an ordered bimonoid $(S, \leq, *, \parallel, 1)$ with shared $*-\parallel$ -unit 1 which satisfies **weak interchange**:

$$(a \parallel b) * (c \parallel d) \leq (a * c) \parallel (b * d)$$




- **subsumption** on posets: $P \preceq Q$ if P “has more order” than Q

Theorem (Gischer '88, Bloom-Esik '96)

The set of sp-posets under subsumption is the free concurrent monoid.


Problem & Solution

- we like the \mathbf{N} poset, but it's not series-parallel
- in fact, \mathbf{N} 's are everywhere: for example, *producer-consumer*:




Problem & Solution

- we like the \mathbf{N} poset, but it's not series-parallel
- in fact, \mathbf{N} 's are everywhere: for example, *producer-consumer*:



Problem & Solution

- we like the \mathbf{N} poset, but it's not series-parallel
- in fact, \mathbf{N} 's are everywhere: for example, *producer-consumer*:



Problem





Find a class of posets which includes \mathbf{N} (and *sp-posets*) and which has good algebraic properties.

Our Proposal

Posets with **interfaces** with parallel and **gluing** composition.



Posets with Interfaces



Definition


A **poset with interfaces (iposet)** is a poset P plus two injections

$$[n] \xrightarrow{s} P \xleftarrow{t} [m]$$

such that $s[n]$ is minimal and $t[m]$ is maximal in P .

- ($[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$; $S \subseteq P$ minimal if $p \not\leq s$ for all $p \in P$, $s \in S$)
- (there are 25 non-isomorphic iposets with underlying \mathbf{N})

Interfaces



Def.: Iposet $s : [n] \rightarrow P \leftarrow [m] : t$; $s[n] \subseteq P_{\min}$, $t[m] \subseteq P_{\max}$.

- s : **starting interface** ; t : **terminating interface**
- events in $t[m]$ are *unfinished* ; events in $s[n]$ are *“unstarted”*

Definition

The **gluing composition** of iposets $s_1 : [n] \rightarrow (P_1, \leq_1) \leftarrow [m] : t_1$ and $s_2 : [m] \rightarrow (P_2, \leq_2) \leftarrow [k] : t_2$:


$$P_1 * P_2 = \begin{cases} (P_1 \sqcup P_2) / t_1(i) = s_2(i) \\ \leq_1 \cup \leq_2 \cup (P_1 \setminus t_1[m]) \times (P_2 \setminus s_2[m]) \end{cases}$$

Gluing Composition

Definition

The **gluing composition** of iposets $s_1 : [n] \rightarrow (P_1, \leq_1) \leftarrow [m] : t_1$ and $s_2 : [m] \rightarrow (P_2, \leq_2) \leftarrow [k] : t_2$:

$$P_1 * P_2 = \begin{cases} (P_1 \sqcup P_2) / t_1(i) = s_2(i) \\ \leq_1 \cup \leq_2 \cup (P_1 \setminus t_1[m]) \times (P_2 \setminus s_2[m]) \end{cases}$$




- only defined if terminating int. of P_1 is equal to starting int. of P_2
- iposets form small category (with gluing as composition)

Gluing Composition

Definition

The **gluing composition** of iposets $s_1 : [n] \rightarrow (P_1, \leq_1) \leftarrow [m] : t_1$ and $s_2 : [m] \rightarrow (P_2, \leq_2) \leftarrow [k] : t_2$:

$$P_1 * P_2 = \begin{cases} (P_1 \sqcup P_2) / t_1(i) = s_2(i) \\ \leq_1 \cup \leq_2 \cup (P_1 \setminus t_1[m]) \times (P_2 \setminus s_2[m]) \end{cases}$$




- only defined if terminating int. of P_1 is equal to starting int. of P_2
- iposets form small category (with gluing as composition)

Gluing Composition

Definition

The **gluing composition** of iposets $s_1 : [n] \rightarrow (P_1, \leq_1) \leftarrow [m] : t_1$ and $s_2 : [m] \rightarrow (P_2, \leq_2) \leftarrow [k] : t_2$:

$$P_1 * P_2 = \begin{cases} (P_1 \sqcup P_2) / t_1(i) = s_2(i) \\ \leq_1 \cup \leq_2 \cup (P_1 \setminus t_1[m]) \times (P_2 \setminus s_2[m]) \end{cases}$$





- only defined if terminating int. of P_1 is equal to starting int. of P_2
- iposets form small category (with gluing as composition)

Parallel Composition

- **parallel composition** of iposets: put posets in parallel and renumber interfaces
- for $[n_1] \rightarrow P_1 \leftarrow [m_1]$ and $[n_2] \rightarrow P_2 \leftarrow [m_2]$, have $[n_1 + n_2] \rightarrow P_1 \otimes P_2 \leftarrow [m_1 + m_2]$
- **not commutative** ; only “lax tensor” ; **not a PROP**

Parallel Composition


- **parallel composition** of iposets: put posets in parallel and renumber interfaces
- for $[n_1] \rightarrow P_1 \leftarrow [m_1]$ and $[n_2] \rightarrow P_2 \leftarrow [m_2]$, have $[n_1 + n_2] \rightarrow P_1 \otimes P_2 \leftarrow [m_1 + m_2]$
- **not commutative** ; only “lax tensor” ; **not a PROP**



Parallel Composition

- **parallel composition** of iposets: put posets in parallel and renumber interfaces
- for $[n_1] \rightarrow P_1 \leftarrow [m_1]$ and $[n_2] \rightarrow P_2 \leftarrow [m_2]$, have $[n_1 + n_2] \rightarrow P_1 \otimes P_2 \leftarrow [m_1 + m_2]$
- **not commutative** ; only “**lax tensor**” ; **not a PROP**
↑↑

$$(P_1 \otimes P_2) * (Q_1 \otimes Q_2) \preceq (P_1 * Q_1) \otimes (P_2 * Q_2)$$



Gluing-Parallel Iposets


- the four singletons:



Gluing-Parallel Iposets

- the four singletons:



- recall *sp-posets*: generated from  using $*$ and \otimes
 - \triangleright *sp*-posets are *freely* generated
 - \triangleright P is *sp* iff P is **N**-free

Gluing-Parallel Iposets

- the four singletons:



- recall *sp-posets*: generated from \emptyset using $*$ and \otimes
 - \triangleright sp-posets are *freely* generated
 - \triangleright P is sp iff P is \mathbf{N} -free
- gp-iposets**: generated from $\emptyset, \{1\}, \{1\}_L, \{1, 1\}$ using $*$ and \otimes

Proposition


Gp-iposets are **freely generated**, except for the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\begin{array}{c} \bullet 1 \\ P \end{array} \right) * \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \blacktriangleright \\ Q \end{array} \right) &= \left(\begin{array}{c} \circ \\ P * Q \end{array} \right) & \left(\begin{array}{c} \bullet 1 \\ P \end{array} \right) * \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \blacktriangleright 1 \\ Q \end{array} \right) &= \left(\begin{array}{c} \bullet 1 \\ P * Q \end{array} \right) \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \blacktriangleright 1 \\ P \end{array} \right) * \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \blacktriangleright \\ Q \end{array} \right) &= \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \blacktriangleright \\ P * Q \end{array} \right) & \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \blacktriangleright 1 \\ P \end{array} \right) * \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \blacktriangleright 1 \\ Q \end{array} \right) &= \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \blacktriangleright 1 \\ P * Q \end{array} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Forbidden Substructures

Proposition

If P is gp, then it does not contain any of the following as induced subposets:



- unlike for sp -posets, that's **not an iff** (we don't know)
- but these five are the only posets on ≤ 6 points which are not gp

Some Counting, up to Isomorphism

n	$P(n)$	$SP(n)$	$GP(n)$	$IP(n)$	$GPI(n)$
0	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	4	4
2	2	2	2	17	16
3	5	5	5	86	74
4	16	15	16	532	419
5	63	48	63	???	2980
6	318	167	313	???	26566
7	2045	602	???	???	???
OEIS	A000112	A003430	A079566 ?	n.a.	n.a.

Some Counting, up to Isomorphism


n	$P(n)$	$SP(n)$	$GP(n)$	$IP(n)$	$GPI(n)$
0	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	4	4
2	2	2	2	17	16
3	5	5	5	86	74
4	16	15	16	532	419
5	63	48	63	???	2980
6	318	167	313	???	26566
7	2045	602	???	???	???
OEIS	A000112	A003430	A079566 ?	n.a.	n.a.

- slow Python implementation
- bottleneck is **isomorphism** checking

Some Counting, up to Isomorphism

n	$P(n)$	$SP(n)$	$GP(n)$	$IP(n)$	$GPI(n)$
0	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	4	4
2	2	2	2	17	16
3	5	5	5	86	74
4	16	15	16	532	419
5	63	48	63	???	2980
6	318	167	313	???	26566
7	2045	602	???	???	???
OEIS	A000112	A003430	A079566 ?	n.a.	n.a.

• $P(6) - GP(6) = 5$:



Some Counting, up to Isomorphism

n	$P(n)$	$SP(n)$	$GP(n)$	$IP(n)$	$GPI(n)$
0	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	4	4
2	2	2	2	17	16
3	5	5	5	86	74
4	16	15	16	532	419
5	63	48	63	???	2980
6	318	167	313	???	26566
7	2045	602	???	???	???
OEIS	A000112	A003430	A079566 ?	n.a.	n.a.

- the only iposet on 2 points which is not gp:

1  2

2  1

Some Counting, up to Isomorphism

n	$P(n)$	$SP(n)$	$GP(n)$	$IP(n)$	$GPI(n)$
0	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	4	4
2	2	2	2	17	16
3	5	5	5	86	74
4	16	15	16	532	419
5	63	48	63	???	2980
6	318	167	313	???	26566
7	2045	602	???	???	???
OEIS	A000112	A003430	A079566 ?	n.a.	n.a.

- Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences A079566:
the number of **connected graphs without induced sub- C_4**

Conclusion

- posets with interfaces for concurrency
- instead of concurrent monoid, small category with lax tensor
 - ▶ a “multi-object concurrent monoid”
- gluing-parallel iposets include sp-posets and the \mathbf{N}
 - ▶ they also include all interval orders
 - ▶ \mathbf{II} -free; useful in concurrency [Wiener 1914], [Lamport '86], [van Glabbeek '90], [Vogler '91], [Janicky '93], etc.
- generation is “almost free”
- characterization by forbidden substructures?

Ongoing and Future Work

- Concurrent Kleene algebra:
 - ▶ concurrent monoid \leadsto concurrent semiring
 - ▶ multi-object concurrent monoid \leadsto bicategories with lax tensors?
 - ▶ and the stars?
 - ▶ relation to synchronous Kleene algebra?
- CKA with domain:
 - ▶ domain elements are “structure-less” iposets
 - ▶ relation to higher-dimensional modal logic?
 - ▶ higher-dimensional modal Kleene algebra
- Languages of higher-dimensional automata:
 - ▶ sets of interval orders
 - ▶ concatenation of HDA \approx gluing of (sets of) interval orders
 - ▶ theory of regular languages for concurrency?

Posets for Concurrency: Interval Orders





- posets which are good for concurrency?
- already in [Wiener 1914], then [Winkowski '77], [Lamport '86], [van Glabbeek '90], [Vogler '91], [Janicky '93], etc.
- **interval orders**: posets which have representation as (real) intervals, ordered by $\max_1 \leq \min_2$
- Lemma (Fishburn '70): A poset is interval iff it does not contain $\mathbb{I} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \longrightarrow & \cdot \\ \cdot & \longrightarrow & \cdot \end{array} \right)$ as induced subposet.
- intuitively: if $a \longrightarrow b$ and $c \longrightarrow d$, then also $a \longrightarrow d$ or $c \longrightarrow b$

Gluing of Interval Orders

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ c \end{array} \right) \overset{a}{*} \left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ d \end{array} \right) \overset{d}{*} \left(\begin{array}{c} b \\ d \end{array} \right) \\
 \\
 \frac{a}{c} \text{ --- } \frac{a}{d} \text{ --- } \frac{b}{d} \\
 \\
 \frac{a}{c} \quad \frac{b}{d}
 \end{array}
 =
 \begin{array}{c}
 \left(\begin{array}{c} a \longrightarrow b \\ c \longrightarrow d \end{array} \right) \\
 \\
 \frac{a}{c} \quad \frac{b}{d}
 \end{array}$$

Interval Orders vs ST-Traces

- An **ST-trace**: $a^+ b^+ a^+ a^- a^- b^-$ [van Glabbeek '90]

- as intervals: 

Proposition

ST-traces up to the equivalence generated by $a^+ b^+ \sim b^+ a^+$ and $a^- b^- \sim b^- a^-$ are the same as labeled interval orders.