

Presenting Interval Pomsets with Interfaces

Amazigh Amrane¹ Hugo Bazille¹ Emily Clement² Uli Fahrenberg¹
Krzysztof Ziemiański³

EPITA Research Laboratory (LRE), Paris, France

Université Paris Cité, CNRS, IRIF, Paris, France



University of Warsaw, Poland

DLT 2024




Motivation: Understand Algebraic Structure of Interval Orders

- non-interleaving concurrency:
- languages consist of **pomsets** instead of words
- (**p**artially **o**rdered **m**ultisets)
- but not *all* pomsets: only **interval orders**
- (elements can be represented as real intervals)
- Janicki-Koutny 1993 (TCS): represent interval orders as sequences of overlapping **maximal antichains**
- use that to understand algebra of interval pomsets
- with an application to higher-dimensional automata




$$\begin{bmatrix} a \bullet \\ c \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} \bullet a \\ a \bullet \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} b \\ \bullet a \end{bmatrix}$$

Example



Lifetimes of events




- ① Motivation: Understand Algebraic Structure of Interval Orders
- ② Pomsets with Interfaces
- ③ Application: Higher-Dimensional Automata
- ④ Conclusion

Pomsets with interfaces

Definition

A **pomset with interfaces** (ipomset): $(P, <, \dashrightarrow, S, T, \lambda)$:

- finite set P ;
- two partial orders $<$ (**precedence order**), \dashrightarrow (**event order**)
 - s.t. $< \cup \dashrightarrow$ is a *total relation*;
- $S, T \subseteq P$ **source** and **target interfaces**
 - s.t. S is $<$ -minimal and T is $<$ -maximal.






Interval orders



Definition

An ipomset $(P, <_P, \dashrightarrow, S, T, \lambda)$ is **interval** if $(P, <_P)$ has an **interval representation**: functions $b, e : P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

- $\forall x \in P : b(x) \leq_{\mathbb{R}} e(x)$;
- $\forall x, y \in P : e(x) <_{\mathbb{R}} b(y) \iff x <_P y$



Gluing composition



- **Gluing** $P * Q$: P before Q , except for interfaces (which are identified)
- (also have **parallel composition** $P \parallel Q$: disjoint union)

Special ipomsets

Definition

An ipomset $(P, <, \dashrightarrow, S, T, \lambda)$ is

- **discrete** if $<$ is empty (hence \dashrightarrow is total)
 - also written ${}_S P_T$
- a **conclist** (“concurrency list”) if it is discrete and $S = T = \emptyset$
- a **starter** if it is discrete and $T = P$
- a **terminator** if it is discrete and $S = P$
- an **identity** if it is both a starter and a terminator

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bullet a \\ \bullet b \bullet \\ a \bullet \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ a \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bullet a \bullet \\ \bullet b \bullet \\ a \bullet \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bullet a \\ \bullet b \bullet \\ \bullet a \bullet \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bullet a \bullet \\ \bullet b \bullet \\ \bullet a \bullet \end{bmatrix}$$

Lemma (Janicki-Koutny 93; reformulated)

An ipomset is interval iff it has a decomposition into discrete ipomsets.

Decompositions

Lemma (Janicki-Koutny 93)

A poset $(P, <)$ is an interval order iff the order defined on its maximal antichains defined by $A \preceq B \iff \forall a \in A, b \in B : b \not< a$ is total.

Corollary

An ipomset is interval iff it has a decomposition into discrete ipomsets.

Lemma

Any discrete ipomset is a gluing of a starter and a terminator. $\begin{bmatrix} \bullet a \\ \bullet b \\ \bullet a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \bullet a \\ \bullet b \\ \bullet a \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} \bullet a \\ \bullet b \\ \bullet a \end{bmatrix}$

Corollary

Any interval ipomset has a decomposition as a sequence of starters and terminators.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} a & \longrightarrow & b \\ c & \longrightarrow & a \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} a \bullet \\ c \end{array} \right] * \left[\begin{array}{c} \bullet a \\ a \bullet \end{array} \right] * \left[\begin{array}{c} b \\ \bullet a \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} a \bullet \\ c \bullet \end{array} \right] * \left[\begin{array}{c} \bullet a \\ \bullet c \end{array} \right] * \left[\begin{array}{c} \bullet a \\ a \bullet \end{array} \right] * \left[\begin{array}{c} \bullet a \\ \bullet a \end{array} \right] * \left[\begin{array}{c} b \bullet \\ \bullet a \end{array} \right] * \left[\begin{array}{c} \bullet b \\ \bullet a \end{array} \right]$$

Unique decompositions

Notation: **St**: set of starters ${}_S U_U$
Te: set of terminators ${}_U U_T$
Id = **St** \cap **Te**: set of identities ${}_U U_U$
 $\Omega = \text{St} \cup \text{Te}$

Definition

A word $w = (S_1, U_1, T_1) \dots (S_n, U_n, T_n) \in \Omega^+$ is **coherent** if $T_i = S_{i+1}$ for all i .

Definition

A coherent word is **sparse** if proper starters and proper terminators are alternating.

- additionally, all $w \in \text{Id} \subseteq \Omega^+$ are sparse
- so that's $\text{Id} \cup (\text{St} \setminus \text{Id})((\text{Te} \setminus \text{Id})(\text{St} \setminus \text{Id}))^* \cup (\text{Te} \setminus \text{Id})((\text{St} \setminus \text{Id})(\text{Te} \setminus \text{Id}))^*$

Lemma

Any interval ipomset P has a **unique** decomposition $P = P_1 * \dots * P_n$ such that $P_1 \dots P_n \in \Omega^+$ is **sparse**.

Step sequences

Let \sim be the congruence on Ω^+ generated by the relation

$$sUU \cdot UT_T \sim sT_T \quad sSU \cdot UUT \sim sS_T$$

- (compose subsequent starters and subsequent terminators)

Definition

A **step sequence** is a \sim -equivalence class of coherent words in Ω^+ .

Lemma

*Any step sequence has a **unique sparse** representant.*

Theorem

The category of interval ipomsets is isomorphic to the category of step sequences.

Categories?

Definition (Category iiPoms)

objects: conclists U (discrete ipomsets without interfaces)

morphisms in $\text{iiPoms}(U, V)$: interval ipomsets P with sources U and targets V

composition: gluing

identities $\text{id}_U = {}_U U_U$

Definition (Category Coh)

objects: conclists U (discrete ipomsets without interfaces)

morphisms in $\text{Coh}(U, V)$: step sequences $[(S_1, U_1, T_1) \dots (S_n, U_n, T_n)]_{\sim}$ with $S_1 = U$ and $T_n = V$

composition: concatenation

identities $\text{id}_U = {}_U U_U$

- Coh is category generated from (directed multi)graph Ω under relations \sim
- isomorphisms $\Phi : \text{iiPoms} \leftrightarrow \text{Coh} : \Psi$ provided by
 - $\Phi(P) = [w]_{\sim}$, where w is any step decomposition of P ;
 - $\Psi([P_1 \dots P_n]_{\sim}) = P_1 * \dots * P_n$ (needs lemma)



Algebra

This is not cancellative:





$$a \bullet \begin{bmatrix} \bullet a \bullet \\ a \bullet \end{bmatrix} = a \bullet \begin{bmatrix} a \bullet \\ \bullet a \bullet \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a \bullet \\ a \bullet \end{bmatrix}$$

Higher-dimensional automata

$a|b$



Higher-dimensional automata



Higher-dimensional automata

A **conclist** is a finite, ordered and Σ -labelled set. (a list of events)

A **precubical set** X consists of:

- A set of cells X (cubes)
- Every cell $x \in X$ has a conclist $\text{ev}(x)$ (list of events active in x)
- We write $X[U] = \{x \in X \mid \text{ev}(x) = U\}$ for a conclist U (cells of type U)
- For every conclist U and $A \subseteq U$ there are:
 - upper face map $\delta_A^1 : X[U] \rightarrow X[U \setminus A]$ (terminating events A)
 - lower face map $\delta_A^0 : X[U] \rightarrow X[U \setminus A]$ (unstarting events A)
- **Precube identities:** $\delta_A^\mu \delta_B^\nu = \delta_B^\nu \delta_A^\mu$ for $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and $\mu, \nu \in \{0, 1\}$

A **higher dimensional automaton (HDA)** is a precubical set X with **start cells** $\perp \subseteq X$ and **accept cells** $\top \subseteq X$ (not necessarily vertices)

Higher-dimensional automata

HDA as a model for concurrency:


- vertices $x \in X[\emptyset]$: **states**
- edges $a \in X[\{a\}]$: labeled **transitions**
- n -squares $\alpha \in X[\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}]$ ($n \geq 2$): **independency** relations / concurrently executing events

van Glabbeek (TCS 2006): Up to history-preserving bisimilarity, HDAs generalize “the main models of concurrency proposed in the literature”

Lots of recent activity on **languages** of HDAs:

- Kleene theorem
- Myhill-Nerode theorem
- Büchi-Elgot-Trakhtenbrot theorem
- ...

Higher-dimensional automata



- The **operational semantics** of an HDA (X, \perp, \top, Σ) is the automaton with states X , alphabet Ω , **state labeling** $ev : X \rightarrow \square$, and transitions

$$E = \{ \delta_A^0(\ell) \xrightarrow{A \uparrow ev(\ell)} \ell \mid A \subseteq ev(\ell) \} \cup \{ \ell \xrightarrow{ev(\ell) \downarrow A} \delta_A^1(\ell) \mid A \subseteq ev(\ell) \}.$$

- Here, the language is $\{ [\begin{smallmatrix} b & \bullet \\ a & \bullet \end{smallmatrix}] [\begin{smallmatrix} \bullet & b \\ \bullet & a \end{smallmatrix}] [\begin{smallmatrix} c & \bullet \\ a & \bullet \end{smallmatrix}] [\begin{smallmatrix} \bullet & c \\ \bullet & a \end{smallmatrix}] \} \downarrow = \{ [\begin{smallmatrix} b & \rightarrow & c \\ a & \bullet & \end{smallmatrix}] \} \downarrow.$

Conclusion

- **pomsets**: basic objects in non-interleaving concurrency
- usually restricted to **interval orders**
- need **interfaces** for gluing composition

Main result [RAMiCS 2024]

Interval pomsets with interfaces may be represented as non-empty words over the category generated by the graph of starters and terminators under the relation which composes subsequent starters and subsequent terminators.

- (similar result also for **subsumptions** / order extensions)
- application to **higher-dimensional automata**: operational semantics as “ST-automata”
- (also helps with higher-dimensional **timed** automata [Petri Nets 2024])
- power of ST-automata yet to be explored

Categorification

Σ set

$\mathcal{M} = \Sigma^*$ free monoid over Σ

languages: subsets of \mathcal{M}

$\mathcal{M} = \Sigma^*/\sim$ non-free monoid over Σ
(traces etc.)

Ω (directed multi)graph

$\mathcal{C} = \Omega^*$ free category over Ω

languages: subsets (?) of \mathcal{C}

$\mathcal{C} = \Omega^*/\sim$ non-free category over Ω
(interval ipomsets)

with **subsumptions**:

get non-free **strict 2-category**

(not shown here)