Extensions of Automata: Concurrent, Timed, Hybrid

Uli Fahrenberg

EPITA Rennes

Foundations of Security and Concurrency July 2024

Uli Fahrenberg [Extensions of Automata](#page-25-0) 1 **Constitution Constitution Constitution** 1 **1**

• an example of a cyber-physical system

Uli Fahrenberg **Extensions** of Automata

- cyber-physical systems must often be modeled and verified
- that may be done using automata
- but these need to capture timing constraints, physical information, and concurrency
- \Rightarrow timed automata; hybrid automata; higher-dimensional automata
	- **•** but how to combine them?

5 [Conclusion](#page-25-0)

Uli Fahrenberg

Who am I

- **•** University studies in mathematics and computer science
- **o** PhD in mathematics
- Worked at Aalborg University (DK), University of Rennes, École polytechnique (Paris)
- Interested in category theory, algebraic topology, automata theory, concurrency theory, verification
- **Professor at FPITA since 2021**

EPITA

- École Pour l'Informatique et les Techniques Avancées
- **•** private engineering school specialized in software engineering
- in Paris, Lyon, Rennes, Strasbourg, and Toulouse
- 700 students \times 5 years
- accredited engineering diploma

Joint work with

- Amazigh Amrane, EPITA Paris
- Hugo Bazille, EPITA Rennes
- Emily Clement, U Paris Cité
- Marie Fortin, U Paris Cité
- Christian Johansen, NTNU Gjøvik
- Georg Struth, U of Sheffield
- Krzysztof Ziemiański, Warsaw U

Automata

- states
- **o** transitions labeled with actions
- operational semantics: machine which changes state depending on inputs and emits outputs
- denotational semantics: what are executions?

Automata

- states
- **o** transitions labeled with actions
- operational semantics: machine which changes state depending on inputs and emits outputs
- o denotational semantics: what are executions?

 $(ac + abd)^{\omega}$

Definition

An automaton is a tuple $\mathcal{A} = (L, \bot, \top, \Sigma, E)$ consisting of a set L of states, a set of initial states $\bot \subseteq L$, a set of accepting states $\top \subseteq L$, a set Σ of labels, and a set $E \subseteq L \times \Sigma \times L$ of edges.

- A path is a finite sequence $\pi = \ell_1 \stackrel{a_1}{\longrightarrow} \ell_2 \stackrel{a_2}{\longrightarrow} \cdots \stackrel{a_{n-1}}{\longrightarrow} \ell_n$ of connected transitions.
- **o** Its label is $\lambda(\pi) = a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-1}$.
- It is accepting if $\ell_1 \in \bot$ and $\ell_n \in \top$.
- The language of A is $\{\lambda(\pi) | \pi \}$ accepting path in A}.
- We only consider finite executions here.
- Hence languages are sets of (finite) words $a_1 a_2 \dots a_k \in \Sigma^*$.
- \bullet (Usually, L and Σ are also to be finite.)

- states and labeled transitions
- states and transitions conditioned on values of clocks
- transitions may reset clocks
- modeling and analysis of real-time systems

[Automata](#page-9-0) Timed automata [Higher-dimensional automata](#page-17-0) Higher-dimensional timed automata [Conclusion](#page-25-0) Linda[hl, Pettersson, Yi](#page-22-0) TACAS'98 Timed automata

UppAal

Uli Fahrenberg

Timed automata

Definition

The set $\Phi(C)$ of clock constraints ϕ over a finite set C is defined by the grammar

 $\phi ::= x \Join k | \phi_1 \land \phi_2$ (x, y ∈ C, k ∈ Z, \Join ∈ {<, <, >, >}).

Definition

A timed automaton is a tuple $\mathcal{A} = (L, \perp, \top, C, \Sigma, I, E)$ consisting of a set L of locations, initial and accepting locations ⊥*,* ⊤ ⊆ L, a finite set C of clocks, a set Σ of labels, an invariants mapping $I:L\to \Phi(C)$, and a set $E\subseteq L\times \Phi(C)\times \Sigma\times 2^C\times L$ of edges.

- \bullet (Usually, L and Σ are to be finite.)
- The operational semantics of ${\mathcal A}$ is the infinite automaton with states $L\times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^\mathcal{C}$, alphabet $\Sigma \cup \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, and transitions

$$
E = \{ (\ell, v) \stackrel{\delta}{\longrightarrow} (\ell, v + \delta) \mid \forall t \in [0, \delta] : v + t \models l(\ell) \} \\ \cup \{ (\ell, v) \stackrel{\partial}{\longrightarrow} (\ell', v') \mid \exists (\ell, \phi, a, r, \ell') \in E : v \models \phi, v' = v[r \leftarrow 0] \}.
$$

Timed automata

 \bullet if two press? within 300 time units, then double_click!, else click!

Timed automata

 \bullet if two press? within 300 time units, then double_click!, else click! language (one cycle only):

$$
L = \{\delta_0 a \,\delta_1 c \mid \delta_1 = 300\} \cup \{\delta_0 a \,\delta_1 b \,\delta_2 d \mid \delta_1 < 300, \delta_1 + \delta_2 = 300\}
$$

Higher-dimensional automata

 $a|b$

Higher-dimensional automata

 $a|b$

HDAs as a model for concurrency:

- vertices $x \in X[\emptyset]$: states
- edges $a \in X[\{a\}]$: labeled transitions
- *n*-squares $\alpha \in X[\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}]$ ($n \geq 2$): independency relations / concurrently executing events

van Glabbeek (TCS 2006): Up to history-preserving bisimilarity, HDAs generalize "the main models of concurrency proposed in the literature"

Lots of recent activity on languages of HDAs:

- **A** Kleene theorem
- Myhill-Nerode theorem
- Büchi-Elgot-Trakhtenbrot theorem
- \bullet . . .

Higher-dimensional automata

 \bullet The operational semantics of an HDA (X, \bot, \top, Σ) is the automaton with states X, alphabet $St_{\Sigma} \cup Te_{\Sigma}$, and transitions

$$
\mathsf{E}=\{\delta^0_\mathsf{A}(\ell)\stackrel{\mathsf{A}^\mathsf{tev}(\ell)}{\longrightarrow} \ell\mid A\subseteq \mathsf{ev}(\ell)\}\cup \{\ell\stackrel{\mathsf{ev}(\ell)\downarrow_\mathsf{A}}{\longrightarrow}\delta^1_\mathsf{A}(\ell)\mid A\subseteq \mathsf{ev}(\ell)\}.
$$

Here, the language is $\{[\begin{smallmatrix} b\bullet \\ a\bullet \end{smallmatrix}][\begin{smallmatrix} \bullet b \\ \bullet a\bullet \end{smallmatrix}][\begin{smallmatrix} c\bullet \\ \bullet a\bullet \end{smallmatrix}][\begin{smallmatrix} \bullet c \\ \bullet a\bullet \end{smallmatrix}][\begin{smallmatrix} \bullet c \\ \bullet a\bullet \end{smallmatrix}]\}\downarrow.$

Higher-dimensional timed automata

- In real-time formalisms, everything is synchronous
	- timed automata, timed Petri nets, hybrid automata, etc.
- and concurrency is interleaving
- In formalisms for (non-interleaving) concurrency, no real time
	- same for distributed computing theory
	- (Petri nets have a concurrent semantics; timed Petri nets don't)
- Our goal: formalisms for real-time concurrent systems
- Here: the marriage between timed and higher-dimensional automata

Higher-dimensional timed automata

Definition

An HDTA is a structure (L*,* ⊥*,* ⊤*,* Σ*,* C*,* inv*,* exit), where (L*,* ⊥*,* ⊤*,* Σ) is an HDA, C is a finite set of clocks, and inv : $L\to \Phi(\mathcal{C})$, exit : $L\to 2^\mathcal{C}$ give invariant and exit conditions for each cell.

Intuition: \bullet inv(ℓ): conditions on the clock values while delaying in ℓ

exit(*ℓ*): clocks which are reset to 0 when leaving *ℓ*.

$$
y \ge 1; x \leftarrow 0 \qquad x \le 4 \land y \ge 1
$$
\n
$$
y \le 3; x \leftarrow 0 \qquad b \qquad x \le 4 \land y \le 3
$$
\n
$$
x \le 4 \land y \le 3
$$
\n
$$
x \ge 2 \land y \ge 1
$$
\n
$$
x \ge 2 \land y \le 3
$$
\n
$$
x \ge 2 \land y \le 3
$$
\n
$$
x \le 4; y \leftarrow 0
$$
\n
$$
x \le 4; y \leftarrow 0
$$
\n
$$
x \ge 2; y \leftarrow 0
$$

$$
y \ge 1; x \leftarrow 0 \qquad x \le 5 \land y \ge 1
$$
\n
$$
x \ge 1 \land y \le 3
$$
\n
$$
x \ge 1 \land y \le 3
$$
\n
$$
x, z \leftarrow 0
$$
\n
$$
x \le 4 \land y \le 3
$$
\n
$$
x \ge 2 \land y \ge 1 \land z \ge 1
$$
\n
$$
x \ge 2 \land y \le 3 \land z \ge 1
$$
\n
$$
x \le 4; y \leftarrow 0
$$
\n
$$
x \le 4; y \leftarrow 0
$$
\n
$$
x \ge 2 \land z \ge 1; y \leftarrow 0
$$

 \bullet The operational semantics of an HDTA X is the infinite automaton with states $X \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^\mathcal{C}$, alphabet $\mathsf{St}_\mathsf{\Sigma} \cup \mathsf{Te}_\mathsf{\Sigma} \cup \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, and transitions

$$
E = \{ (\ell, v) \stackrel{\delta}{\longrightarrow} (\ell, v + \delta) \mid \forall t \in [0, \delta] : v + t \models inv(\ell) \}
$$

$$
\cup \{ (\delta_A^0(\ell), v) \stackrel{\text{A} \uparrow ev(\ell)}{\longrightarrow} (\ell, v') \mid A \subseteq ev(\ell), v' = v[\text{exit}(\delta_A^0(\ell)) \leftarrow 0] \}
$$

$$
\cup \{ (\ell, v) \stackrel{\text{ev}(\ell) \downarrow}{\longrightarrow} (\delta_A^1(\ell), v') \mid A \subseteq ev(\ell), v' = v[\text{exit}(\ell) \leftarrow 0] \}.
$$

Conclusion

Automata, automata, automata

- useful to provide operational semantics to other models
- well-developed language theory

Higher-dimensional automata

- nice for modeling (and verifying?) concurrent systems
- o nice language theory

Timed automata

- **•** useful for modeling and verifying real-time systems
- badly behaved language theory

Higher-dimensional timed automata

• for modeling (and verifying?) real-time concurrent systems